IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH JULY 2007 / 13TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 2965 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

T.S.SANDHYAMOL, W/O.THULASI,
AGED 24, KURTHANAYIL KALLAMBALAM P.O.,
FROM MULLUVILA VEEDU, NOW RESIDING AT THULASI
BHAVANAM, VILAKKUPARA P.O., EROOR VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.M.S.RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE BRANCH MANAGER,**
   LIC OF INDIA, LIC BUILDING,
   NEAR KSRTC BUS STAND, ATTINGAL.

2. **THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   KALLAMBALAM, POLICE STATION,
   ATTINGAL, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DIST.

3. **GOPALAKRISHNAN, MULLUVILA VEEDU,**
   PULLOOR MUKKU, KALLAMBALAM, ATTINGAL.

4. **THANKAMANI, MULLUVILA VEEDU,**
   PULLOOR MUKKU, KALLAMBALAM, ATTINGAL.

5. **SREEKUMAR, MULLUVILA VEEDU,**
   PULLOOR MUKKU, KALLAMBALAM,
   ATTINGAL.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BASANT BALAJI
SRI.M.AJAY
SRI.D.PEETHAMBARAN
SRI.PRASAD GANDHI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 04/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) NO.2965/2007

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT 12.10.2006.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT RECEIVING THE COMPLAINT.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DT. 8.1.2007.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE POLICY CERTIFICATE OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER, KARAVAROM DT. 7.2.2007.

EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE AGREEMENT DT. 12.4.05.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS

EXT.R3(1):- COPY OF THE RECEIPT DT.26.10.05 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

EXT.PR3(2):- COPY OF THE LETTER D.T. 28.3.07 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.R3(3):- COPY OF THE PETITION DT. 20.4.07 FILED BY THE RESPONDENT 3,4 & 5 BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

tss

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

WP(C).No. 2965 OF 2007-C

= =

Dated this the 28th day of February, 2007.

O R D E R

Notice. In the light of the statement of the Sub Inspector, the matter involved in this case is essentially a controversy between the petitioner and respondents 3 to 5. It also appears that the petitioner has a child. Under such circumstances, what is primarily required is to take note of the anxiety expressed by the parents of the petitioner before the police officer and also to secure the interest of the minor properly. Hence, urgent notice by speed post is issued to respondents 1 and 3 to 5. Learned Government Pleader takes notice for R2. The first respondent will ensure that no amount due under the policy is released without further orders of this Court.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

Sha/-